IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT APPEAL No. 2228 of 2005

Between:

Palem Venkat Rao

.....APPELLANT

AND

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Chintha Kommudinne Mandal,

Chintha Kommudinne village,

Kadapa, and 2 others.

.....RESPONDENTS

JUDGMENT:(Per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, The Acting Chief Justice)

The writ petitioner made an application for correction of entries in the revenue records, as according to him, his grand father had purchased land measuring Ac.1.28 cents in Sy.No.862/5, Chintha Kommadinne Village and Mandal, Kadapa District, in 1913. According to him, he continued in the possession. But, he came to know that in the year 2003, second respondent executed sale deed in favour of the third respondent. Therefore, he filed an application before the first respondent to make an enquiry into the matter, and to cancel the concerned entries in the revenue records. The learned Mandal Revenue Officer was of the view that since the sale deed has been executed between second and third respondents, therefore, petitioner should approach the Civil Court. The learned single Judge upheld the order.

We do not find any ground to interfere in the orders passed, because, even if the petitioner succeeds in getting the alleged incorrect revenue entries to be corrected, he will not be able to get the sale deed cancelled. On the other hand, if he approaches the civil Court, he can get the sale deed cancelled, and the entries amended, provided he proves his case before such Court.

In this view of the matter, we do not find any merit in the appeal, which is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

(Bilal Nazki, A.C.J)

21st November, 2005

(R.Subhash Reddy, J)

LUR